

Enrichments for higher categories

David KERN

Laboratoire Angevin de REcherche en MATHématiques — Séminaire doctoral

12th October 2018

Sommaire - Section 1: The algebraic structure of free objects

1 The algebraic structure of free objects

2 Monoids and enrichments

- Monoidal and enriched categories
- Monads

3 Categories up to homotopy

The free category functor

Let $Q = (\{\text{vertices}\}, \{\text{arrows}\})$ be a quiver. What is the “best” way to turn it into a category?

- ▶ Need to freely add a composition operation, respecting associativity (and units)

The free category functor

Let $Q = (\{\text{vertices}\}, \{\text{arrows}\})$ be a quiver. What is the “best” way to turn it into a category?

- ▶ Need to freely add a composition operation, respecting associativity (and units)

- ▶ Free category $\mathcal{F}Q$ with

 - objects** the vertices of Q

 - morphisms** $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{F}Q}(V_0, V_1) = \{\text{strings of composable arrows joining } V_0 \text{ to } V_1\}$

Composition given by concatenation (and get identities as empty string)

The free category functor

Let $Q = (\{\text{vertices}\}, \{\text{arrows}\})$ be a quiver. What is the “best” way to turn it into a category?

- ▶ Need to freely add a composition operation, respecting associativity (and units)
 - ▶ Free category $\mathcal{F}Q$ with
 - objects** the vertices of Q
 - morphisms** $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{F}Q}(V_0, V_1) = \{\text{strings of composable arrows joining } V_0 \text{ to } V_1\}$
- Composition given by concatenation (and get identities as empty string)

Adjunction property

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Any functor $\mathcal{F}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is determined by its action on Q , and any graph morphism $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ extends uniquely (by concatenation):

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}\text{at}}(\mathcal{F}Q, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{hom}_{\text{Quiver}}(Q, \mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}) \quad (\text{with } \mathcal{U} \text{ the “underlying quiver” functor})$$

Free objects in general

Let $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a “forgetful” functor.

For any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the *free object of \mathcal{D} on X* is $\mathcal{F}X \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\eta_X: X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}X$ (in \mathcal{C}) such that:

for any $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ and any morphism $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}Y$ in \mathcal{C} , there is a unique $\bar{f}: \mathcal{F}X \rightarrow Y$ (in \mathcal{D}) making the diagram commute (in \mathcal{C})

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}X \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \mathcal{U}\bar{f} \\ & & \mathcal{U}Y \end{array}$$

Free objects in general

Let $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a “forgetful” functor.

For any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the *free object of \mathcal{D} on X* is $\mathcal{F}X \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\eta_X: X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}X$ (in \mathcal{C}) such that:

for any $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ and any morphism $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}Y$ in \mathcal{C} , there is a unique $\bar{f}: \mathcal{F}X \rightarrow Y$ (in \mathcal{D}) making the diagram commute (in \mathcal{C})

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}X \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \mathcal{U}\bar{f} \\ & & \mathcal{U}Y \end{array}$$

Examples

- ▶ $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{Vect}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{Set}$ the forgetful “underlying set” functor; the free vector space $k[S]$ on a set S is the k -linear span of its elements
- ▶ $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{CAlg}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{Vect}_k$; the free commutative k -algebra on V is the symmetric tensor algebra: $\mathcal{U}(\text{Sym}^\bullet V) \cong k \oplus V \oplus (V^{\otimes 2})_{\mathbb{S}_2} \oplus (V^{\otimes 3})_{\mathbb{S}_3} \oplus \dots$

Remark: $\mathcal{F}X$ is functorial in X , giving $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$

Adjoint functors

Definition

An *adjunction* $\mathcal{F} \dashv \mathcal{G}$ between categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with bijections

$$\phi_{X,Y}: \text{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}X, Y) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathcal{G}Y)$$

natural in $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$.

$\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ forgetful functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}X \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \exists! \mathcal{U}\bar{f} \\ & & \mathcal{U}Y \end{array}$$

$$\phi_{X,Y}: \text{hom}(\mathcal{F}X, Y) \ni g \mapsto \mathcal{U}g \circ \eta_X \in \text{hom}(X, \mathcal{U}Y)$$

bijective by free property

$$\implies \mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \perp \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{U}$$

The algebra of adjunctions

$\phi_{X, \mathcal{F}X}: \text{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}X, \mathcal{F}X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}X)$ maps $\text{id}_{\mathcal{F}X} \mapsto (\eta_X: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}X)$

► By functoriality of $\phi \implies$ natural transformation $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$

Similarly, by $\phi_{\mathcal{G}Y, Y}^{-1}: \text{hom}(\mathcal{G}Y, \mathcal{G}Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{hom}(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}Y, Y)$, get $\varepsilon: \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$

The algebra of adjunctions

$\phi_{X,FX}: \text{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}X, \mathcal{F}X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}X)$ maps $\text{id}_{\mathcal{F}X} \mapsto (\eta_X: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}X)$

► By functoriality of $\phi \implies$ natural transformation $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$

Similarly, by $\phi_{\mathcal{G}Y,Y}^{-1}: \text{hom}(\mathcal{G}Y, \mathcal{G}Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{hom}(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}Y, Y)$, get $\varepsilon: \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$

Notation: η and ε are called the *unit* and *counit* of the adjunction

ε and η determine the adjunction ϕ , that is:

\mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are adjoint iff there are $\varepsilon: \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ with the compatibility condition

The diagram shows two parts, separated by the word "and".

Left part: A commutative diagram with objects \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . A horizontal arrow goes from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} labeled $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$. A curved arrow goes from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} labeled \mathcal{F} at the top and \mathcal{F} at the bottom. A vertical arrow goes from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} labeled $\mathcal{F}\eta$ on the left and $\varepsilon\mathcal{F}$ on the right. This is equal to a simpler diagram where a horizontal arrow $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is labeled $\text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$, with a curved arrow $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ labeled \mathcal{F} at the top and \mathcal{F} at the bottom.

Right part: A commutative diagram with objects \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{C} . A horizontal arrow goes from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{C} labeled $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$. A curved arrow goes from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{C} labeled \mathcal{G} at the top and \mathcal{G} at the bottom. A vertical arrow goes from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{C} labeled $\eta\mathcal{G}$ on the left and $\mathcal{G}\varepsilon$ on the right. This is equal to a simpler diagram where a horizontal arrow $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is labeled $\text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}$, with a curved arrow $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ labeled \mathcal{G} at the top and \mathcal{G} at the bottom.

From adjunctions to monads

Using the counit, we get a natural transformation

$$\mu := \mathcal{G}\varepsilon\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}) \circ (\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{G} \circ (\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}) \circ \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}.$$

- ▶ The endofunctor $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ on \mathfrak{C} has a “multiplication” $\mu: (\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F})^{\circ 2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ and a “unit” $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathfrak{C}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ (associative and unital by compatibility condition)

Example: $\mathcal{U}: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ forgetful functor

$\mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$ creates a free object and forgets the added structure

μ remembers reduction along this structure (e.g. $\mathcal{F} = k[-]$; μ is linear dependence)

From adjunctions to monads

Using the counit, we get a natural transformation

$$\mu := \mathcal{G}\varepsilon\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}) \circ (\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{G} \circ (\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}) \circ \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}.$$

- ▶ The endofunctor $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ on \mathcal{C} has a “multiplication” $\mu: (\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F})^{\circ 2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ and a “unit” $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ (associative and unital by compatibility condition)

Example: $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ forgetful functor

$\mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$ creates a free object and forgets the added structure

μ remembers reduction along this structure (e.g. $\mathcal{F} = k[-]$; μ is linear dependence)

Similarly, $\mathcal{F}\eta\mathcal{G}$ is a comultiplication $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G})^{\circ 2}$ on the counital $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ on \mathcal{D}

$\implies \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ are an algebra and a cogeбра for the composition products on $\mathbf{Endofun}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{Endofun}(\mathcal{D})$

1 The algebraic structure of free objects

2 Monoids and enrichments

- Monoidal and enriched categories
- Monads

3 Categories up to homotopy

- 1 The algebraic structure of free objects
- 2 Monoids and enrichments
 - Monoidal and enriched categories
 - Monads
- 3 Categories up to homotopy

Monoidal categories

Definition

A monoidal structure on a category \mathfrak{V} is a bifunctor $\otimes: \mathfrak{V} \times \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$ and a choice of object $1 \in \mathfrak{V}$, with natural transformations expressing associativity and unitality

- ▶ A *braiding* is a natural isomorphism $\tau_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \otimes X$
- ▶ *Symmetric* structure if $\tau_{X,Y}^{-1} = \tau_{Y,X}$

A *monoidal functor* $(\mathfrak{V}, \otimes, 1) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \boxtimes, I)$ is $\mathcal{F}: \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ with $\mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}X \boxtimes \mathcal{F}Y$ (natural) and $\mathcal{F}(1) \xrightarrow{\cong} I$

Monoidal categories

Definition

A monoidal structure on a category \mathfrak{V} is a bifunctor $\otimes: \mathfrak{V} \times \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$ and a choice of object $1 \in \mathfrak{V}$, with natural transformations expressing associativity and unitality

- ▶ A *braiding* is a natural isomorphism $\tau_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \otimes X$
- ▶ *Symmetric* structure if $\tau_{X,Y}^{-1} = \tau_{Y,X}$

A *monoidal functor* $(\mathfrak{V}, \otimes, 1) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \boxtimes, I)$ is $\mathcal{F}: \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ with $\mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}X \boxtimes \mathcal{F}Y$ (natural) and $\mathcal{F}(1) \xrightarrow{\cong} I$

Examples

- ▶ $(\mathfrak{Mod}_R, \otimes_R, R)$

Monoidal categories

Definition

A monoidal structure on a category \mathfrak{V} is a bifunctor $\otimes: \mathfrak{V} \times \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$ and a choice of object $1 \in \mathfrak{V}$, with natural transformations expressing associativity and unitality

- ▶ A *braiding* is a natural isomorphism $\tau_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \otimes X$
- ▶ *Symmetric* structure if $\tau_{X,Y}^{-1} = \tau_{Y,X}$

A *monoidal functor* $(\mathfrak{V}, \otimes, 1) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \boxtimes, I)$ is $\mathcal{F}: \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ with $\mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}X \boxtimes \mathcal{F}Y$ (natural) and $\mathcal{F}(1) \xrightarrow{\cong} I$

Examples

- ▶ $(\mathfrak{Mod}_R, \otimes_R, R)$
- ▶ $(\mathfrak{EndoFun}(\mathcal{C}), \circ, \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is *strict* monoidal (strictly associative)

Monoidal categories

Definition

A monoidal structure on a category \mathfrak{V} is a bifunctor $\otimes: \mathfrak{V} \times \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$ and a choice of object $1 \in \mathfrak{V}$, with natural transformations expressing associativity and unitality

- ▶ A *braiding* is a natural isomorphism $\tau_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \otimes X$
- ▶ *Symmetric* structure if $\tau_{X,Y}^{-1} = \tau_{Y,X}$

A *monoidal functor* $(\mathfrak{V}, \otimes, 1) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \boxtimes, I)$ is $\mathcal{F}: \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ with $\mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}X \boxtimes \mathcal{F}Y$ (natural) and $\mathcal{F}(1) \xrightarrow{\cong} I$

Examples

- ▶ $(\mathfrak{Mod}_R, \otimes_R, R)$
- ▶ $(\mathfrak{EndoFun}(\mathcal{C}), \circ, \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is *strict* monoidal (strictly associative)
- ▶ Any category with finite products (1 is the final object)

Enriched categories

Definition

A $(\mathfrak{V}, \otimes, 1)$ -enriched category \mathcal{C} has a set of objects $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and, for any pair (A, B) of objects, an object $\underline{\text{hom}}(A, B)$ of \mathfrak{V} , along with $\underline{\text{hom}}(A, B) \otimes \underline{\text{hom}}(B, C) \rightarrow \underline{\text{hom}}(A, C)$, “ $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$ ” and $\text{id}_A: 1 \rightarrow \underline{\text{hom}}(A, A)$, all following the category axioms.

A \mathfrak{V} -functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a map of sets $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$ and morphisms $\underline{\text{hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \underline{\text{hom}}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}A, \mathcal{F}B)$ in \mathfrak{V} with functoriality.

Examples

- ▶ A category is a (Set, \times) -enriched category
- ▶ A Mod_R -enriched category is called an R -linear category.
An R -algebra is an R -category with one object

Closure and self-enrichment

A *closed symmetric monoidal category* is $(\mathfrak{A}, \otimes, 1)$ such that, $\forall Y \in \mathfrak{A}$, the (endo)functor $-\otimes Y$ has a right adjoint $[Y, -]$:

$$\mathrm{hom}(X \otimes Y, Z) = \mathrm{hom}(X, [Y, Z])$$

Notation: the object $[Y, Z]$ is called the *internal hom* from Y to Z

Closure and self-enrichment

A *closed symmetric monoidal category* is $(\mathfrak{A}, \otimes, 1)$ such that, $\forall Y \in \mathfrak{A}$, the (endo)functor $-\otimes Y$ has a right adjoint $[Y, -]$:

$$\text{hom}(X \otimes Y, Z) = \text{hom}(X, [Y, Z])$$

Notation: the object $[Y, Z]$ is called the *internal hom* from Y to Z

Proposition

A closed monoidal structure gives a self-enrichment of \mathfrak{A} with $\underline{\text{hom}}(-, -) = [-, -]$

- ▶ $(\text{Mod}_R, \otimes_R, R)$, and the dg-derived category $(\text{Ho}(\text{dgMod}_R), \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}}, R[0])$
- ▶ $(\text{Top}, \times, \text{pt})$, and $\text{Ho}(\text{Top})$
- ▶ $(\text{Cat}, \times, \text{pt})$ cartesian closed \implies Cat -enriched category, i.e. (strict) 2-category

- 1 The algebraic structure of free objects
- 2 Monoids and enrichments
 - Monoidal and enriched categories
 - Monads
- 3 Categories up to homotopy

Monoids in a monoidal category

Definition

A *monoid* in $(\mathfrak{A}, \otimes, 1)$ is $M \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\mu: M \otimes M \rightarrow M$ and a generalised element $\eta: 1 \rightarrow M$, satisfying associativity and unitality

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \text{id}_M} & M \otimes M \\ \text{id}_M \otimes \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} M \otimes 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \eta} & M \otimes M & \xleftarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}_M} & 1 \otimes M \\ & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow \simeq & \\ & & M & & \end{array}$$

Comonoid = monoid in \mathfrak{A}^{op} : comultiplication $\delta: M \rightarrow M \otimes M$, counit $\varepsilon: M \rightarrow 1$, coassociative and counital

Monoids in a monoidal category

Definition

A *monoid* in $(\mathfrak{V}, \otimes, 1)$ is $M \in \mathfrak{V}$ with $\mu: M \otimes M \rightarrow M$ and a generalised element $\eta: 1 \rightarrow M$, satisfying associativity and unitality

Comonoid = monoid in \mathfrak{V}^{op} : comultiplication $\delta: M \rightarrow M \otimes M$, counit $\varepsilon: M \rightarrow 1$, coassociative and counital

Examples

- ▶ Monoids in $(\mathcal{S}\text{et}, \times)$ are monoids. Monoids in monoids are commutative monoids.
- ▶ Monoids in $\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R$ are R -algebras. (Commutative) monoids in $\text{dg}\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R$ are R -(c)dgas
- ▶ Monoids in $(\mathcal{E}\text{ndofun}(\mathcal{C}), \circ, \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})$ are monads on \mathcal{C} (comonoids are comonads)

Remark: Define similarly modules and algebras over a monoid

Monads and adjunctions

Eilenberg–Moore category

An *algebra* over (\mathcal{T}, μ, η) is a functor $A: \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (equivalently, $A \in \mathcal{C}$) with $\rho: \mathcal{T}A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & \mathcal{T}A \\ \mathcal{T}\rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{T}A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & \mathcal{T}A \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \rho \\ & \text{id}_A & A \end{array} \quad \text{commute.}$$

A morphism of \mathcal{T} -algebras $(A, \rho) \rightarrow (A', \rho')$ is $f: A \rightarrow A'$ compatible with ρ, ρ'

Monads and adjunctions

Eilenberg–Moore category

An *algebra* over (\mathcal{T}, μ, η) is a functor $A: \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ (equivalently, $A \in \mathfrak{C}$) with $\rho: \mathcal{T}A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & \mathcal{T}A \\ \mathcal{T}\rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{T}A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & \mathcal{T}A \\ & \parallel & \downarrow \rho \\ & \text{id}_A & A \end{array} \quad \text{commute.}$$

A morphism of \mathcal{T} -algebras $(A, \rho) \rightarrow (A', \rho')$ is $f: A \rightarrow A'$ compatible with ρ, ρ'

The forgetful functor $\mathfrak{Alg}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, $(A, \rho) \mapsto A$ has a “free” left adjoint $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto (\mathcal{T}\mathfrak{C}, \mu_{\mathfrak{C}})$. The monad for the adjunction is (universally) \mathcal{T} .

Remark: An adjunction $\mathcal{F}: \mathfrak{C} \rightleftarrows \mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{G}$ is said *monadic* if $\mathfrak{Alg}_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}} \simeq \mathfrak{D}$
 $\implies \mathfrak{Alg}_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}}$ measures how free \mathcal{F} is

Example: the ultrafilter monad I

Ultrafilters form a monad

$\beta: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}, X \mapsto \{\text{ultrafilters on } X\} \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathbf{Bool}}(\mathcal{P}(X), 2)$

- ▶ $\eta_X(x)$ is the principal ultrafilter $\omega_x = \{U \subset X \mid x \in U\}$
- ▶ $\mu_X: \beta\beta X \ni F \mapsto \{U \subset X \mid \{G \in \beta X \mid U \in G\} \in F\} \in \beta X$

Example: the ultrafilter monad I

Ultrafilters form a monad

$\beta: \mathcal{S}et \rightarrow \mathcal{S}et, X \mapsto \{\text{ultrafilters on } X\} \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}ool}(\mathcal{P}(X), 2)$

- ▶ $\eta_X(x)$ is the principal ultrafilter $\omega_x = \{U \subset X \mid x \in U\}$
- ▶ $\mu_X: \beta\beta X \ni F \mapsto \{U \subset X \mid \{G \in \beta X \mid U \in G\} \in F\} \in \beta X$

Algebras over β

Let $\rho: \beta X \rightarrow X$ be a β -algebra. Topologise X with $U \subset X$ open if

$$\forall x \in U, \forall F \in \beta X, \rho(F) = x \implies U \in F$$

- ▶ The space X is a compactum (quasicompact–Hausdorff)

Example: the ultrafilter monad II

Properties (X a topological space)

A **net** in X is $\nu: I \rightarrow X$, I directed set. Converges to x if $\forall U \ni x, \exists i, \forall j \geq i, \nu_j \in U$

- ▶ X is quasicompact iff every net has a convergent subnet
- ▶ X is Hausdorff iff no net can converge to two distinct limits

For X a compactum, define β -algebra structure $\rho: \beta X \rightarrow X$ by

$$\rho(F) = x \text{ unique limit point of } F \text{ (i.e. filter \{neighbourhoods of } x\} \subset F)$$

In fact $\mathcal{Alg}_\beta \simeq \mathcal{CHaus}$

Remarks (β regularises infinite sets)

- ▶ On a finite set every ultrafilter is principal, so the free β -algebra $\beta[n] \xleftarrow{\simeq} [n]: \eta_{[n]}$
- ▶ For any set X , βX is the (Stone–Čech) compactification of $(X, \tau_{\text{discrete}})$

Sommaire - Section 3: Categories up to homotopy

- 1 The algebraic structure of free objects
- 2 Monoids and enrichments
 - Monoidal and enriched categories
 - Monads
- 3 Categories up to homotopy

The augmented simplex category

Category Δ_+ with

objects $[n] = \{0 \leq 1 \leq \dots \leq n\}$ (seen as a category), with $[-1] = \emptyset$

arrows order-preserving, *i.e.* non-decreasing, functions (or simply functors)

Note $[-1]$ is initial

Also (non augmented) simplex category Δ without $[-1]$

The augmented simplex category

Category Δ_+ with

objects $[n] = \{0 \leq 1 \leq \dots \leq n\}$ (seen as a category), with $[-1] = \emptyset$

arrows order-preserving, *i.e.* non-decreasing, functions (or simply functors)

Note $[-1]$ is initial

Also (non augmented) simplex category Δ without $[-1]$

Monoidal structure: $[n] \boxplus [m] := [n + m + 1]$ (strict, but not symmetric!)

$[0]$ is a monoid: $[0] \boxplus [0] = [1] \xrightarrow{0,1 \mapsto 0} [0]$

The augmented simplex category

Category Δ_+ with

objects $[n] = \{0 \leq 1 \leq \dots \leq n\}$ (seen as a category), with $[-1] = \emptyset$

arrows order-preserving, *i.e.* non-decreasing, functions (or simply functors)

Note $[-1]$ is initial

Also (non augmented) simplex category Δ without $[-1]$

Monoidal structure: $[n] \boxplus [m] := [n + m + 1]$ (strict, but not symmetric!)

$[0]$ is a monoid: $[0] \boxplus [0] = [1] \xrightarrow{0,1 \mapsto 0} [0]$

Universal property: cobar construction

Let (\mathfrak{C}, \otimes) be a strict monoidal category with a monoid M . There is a unique monoidal functor $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M)_{\bullet} : \Delta_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ sending $[0]$ to M (and generally $[n]$ to $M^{\otimes(n+1)}$)

(Co)simplicial objects

Face maps $\delta_i: [n] \rightarrow [n+1], \{0 \leq \dots \leq n\} \mapsto \{0 \leq \dots \leq i-1 \leq i+1 \leq \dots \leq n+1\}$

Degeneracy maps $\sigma_j: [n] \rightarrow [n-1], \{0 \leq \dots \leq n\} \mapsto \{0 \leq \dots \leq j \leq j \leq \dots \leq n-1\}$

Every morphism in Δ factors uniquely as degeneracies followed by faces

An augmented (co)simplicial object in \mathcal{C} is a functor $X_\bullet: \Delta_+^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (or $\Delta_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$):

(Co)simplicial objects

Face maps $\delta_i: [n] \twoheadrightarrow [n+1], \{0 \leq \dots \leq n\} \mapsto \{0 \leq \dots \leq i-1 \leq i+1 \leq \dots \leq n+1\}$

Degeneracy maps $\sigma_j: [n] \twoheadrightarrow [n-1], \{0 \leq \dots \leq n\} \mapsto \{0 \leq \dots \leq j \leq j \leq \dots \leq n-1\}$

Every morphism in Δ factors uniquely as degeneracies followed by faces

An augmented (co)simplicial object in \mathcal{C} is a functor $X_\bullet: \Delta_+^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (or $\Delta_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$):
 Given by diagram of $X_n = X_\bullet([n])$ with faces $d^n = X_\bullet(\delta_n)$ (resp. cofaces d_n) and
 degeneracies $s^n = X_\bullet(\sigma_n)$ (resp. codegeneracies s_n)

Cobar resolution

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)_\bullet(\Delta) = 1 \xrightarrow{\eta} M \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \eta} \\ \xleftarrow{\mu} \\ \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}} \end{array} M^{\otimes 2} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \eta} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{id} \otimes \mu} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \eta \otimes \text{id}} \dots \\ \xleftarrow{\mu \otimes \text{id}} \\ \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}} \end{array}$$

Simplicial categories and simplicial enrichments

- ▶ \mathcal{C} a simplicially enriched category.
 \implies Define simplicial object $\mathcal{C}_\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{at}$ with $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_i) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(X, Y) = \underline{\text{hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)_i$
- ▶ Conversely, $\Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{at}$ with constant objects gives an $s\mathcal{S}\text{et}$ -category

Simplicial categories and simplicial enrichments

- ▶ \mathcal{C} a simplicially enriched category.
 \implies Define simplicial object $\mathcal{C}_\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{at}$ with $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_i) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(X, Y) = \underline{\text{hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)_i$
- ▶ Conversely, $\Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{at}$ with constant objects gives an $\mathfrak{s}\mathcal{S}\text{et}$ -category

The nerve problem

\mathcal{C} a category. Its nerve $N_\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathfrak{s}\mathcal{S}\text{et}$ has $N_i(\mathcal{C}) = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}\text{at}}([i], \mathcal{C})$: strings of i composable morphisms. It is 2-coskeletal: determined by $N_{\leq 1}$ (as $\mathcal{Q}\text{vr}^{\text{unit.}} = \mathfrak{s}_{\leq 1}\mathcal{S}\text{et}$)

E.g.: M a monoid, $\mathbb{B}M$ its 1-object category. Then $N_\bullet(\mathbb{B}M) = \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{S}\text{et}}(M)_\bullet$ is the bar resolution

Simplicial categories and simplicial enrichments

- ▶ \mathcal{C} a simplicially enriched category.
 \implies Define simplicial object $\mathcal{C}_\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{at}$ with $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_i) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(X, Y) = \underline{\text{hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)_i$
- ▶ Conversely, $\Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{at}$ with constant objects gives an $s\text{Set}$ -category

The nerve problem

\mathcal{C} a category. Its nerve $N_\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \in s\text{Set}$ has $N_i(\mathcal{C}) = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}\text{at}}([i], \mathcal{C})$: strings of i composable morphisms. It is 2-coskeletal: determined by $N_{\leq 1}$ (as $\mathcal{Q}\text{ur}^{\text{unit.}} = s_{\leq 1}\text{Set}$)

E.g.: M a monoid, $\mathbb{B}M$ its 1-object category. Then $N_\bullet(\mathbb{B}M) = \mathcal{B}^{\text{Set}}(M)_\bullet$ is the bar resolution

The left adjoint of $N_\bullet: \mathcal{C}\text{at} \rightarrow s\text{Set}$ (“fundamental category”) only depends on 0-, 1- and 2-simplices

\implies How to define the nerve of an $s\text{Set}$ -category, or the “correct” fundamental category of a simplicial set?

Homotopy coherent diagrams

Back to the free category construction $\mathcal{F}: \mathbf{Qvr} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{Cat} : \mathcal{U}$

- ▶ Comonad $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U}$ on \mathbf{Cat} , with bar resolution $\mathcal{B}^{\mathbf{EndoFun}(\mathbf{Cat})}(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U})_{\bullet} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{EndoFun}(\mathbf{Cat})$
- ▶ Both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F} are identity on objects \implies simplicial categories
 $\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}^{+} := \mathcal{B}^{\mathbf{EndoFun}(\mathbf{Cat})}(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U})_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$

Definition

\mathcal{I} a category, \mathcal{C} an $s\mathbf{Set}$ -category.

A *homotopy coherent diagram* of shape \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{C} is an $s\mathbf{Set}$ -functor $\mathcal{I}_{\bullet}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

$$[2] = \left(0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(0<2)} \\ \xrightarrow{0<1} \\ \xrightarrow{1<2} \end{array} 1 \xrightarrow{1<2} 2 \right)$$

$(0<2) = (1<2) \circ (0<1)$

Homotopy coherent diagrams

Back to the free category construction $\mathcal{F}: \mathbf{Qvr} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{Cat} : \mathcal{U}$

- ▶ Comonad $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U}$ on \mathbf{Cat} , with bar resolution $\mathcal{B}^{\mathbf{EndoFun}(\mathbf{Cat})}(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U})_{\bullet} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{EndoFun}(\mathbf{Cat})$
- ▶ Both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F} are identity on objects \implies simplicial categories
 $\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}^{+} := \mathcal{B}^{\mathbf{EndoFun}(\mathbf{Cat})}(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U})_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$

Definition

\mathcal{I} a category, \mathcal{C} an $s\mathbf{Set}$ -category.

A *homotopy coherent diagram* of shape \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{C} is an $s\mathbf{Set}$ -functor $\mathcal{I}_{\bullet}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

$$[2] = \left(0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{0<2} \\ \xrightarrow{0<1} \\ \xrightarrow{1<2} \end{array} 1 \xrightarrow{1<2} 2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad [2]_{\bullet}^{+} = [2]_{\leq 1}^{+} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{0<2} & 2 \\ & \Downarrow \text{"1 < 2" \cdot "0 < 1"} & \uparrow \\ 0<1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 1 & \xrightarrow{1<2} & 2 \end{array} \right)$$

The homotopy coherent nerve

- ▶ By abstract nonsense (density theorem), any $X_\bullet \in \mathfrak{sSet}$ is $\varinjlim_{\Delta_\bullet^n \rightarrow X_\bullet} \Delta_\bullet^n$, where $\Delta_\bullet^n: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Set}$ is the representable $\text{hom}(-, [n])$
- ▶ We define the fundamental \mathfrak{sSet} -category $\omega_\infty(X_\bullet) := \varinjlim_{\Delta_\bullet^n \rightarrow X_\bullet} [n]_\bullet^+$

The homotopy coherent nerve

▶ By abstract nonsense (density theorem), any $X_\bullet \in \mathfrak{sSet}$ is $\lim_{\Delta_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet} \Delta_\bullet^n$, where

$\Delta_\bullet^n: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Set}$ is the representable $\text{hom}(-, [n])$

▶ We define the fundamental \mathfrak{sSet} -category $\omega_\infty(X_\bullet) := \lim_{\Delta_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet} [n]_\bullet^+$

▶ By the Yoneda lemma, $X_n = \text{hom}(\Delta_\bullet^n, X_\bullet)$

▶ To have $\omega_\infty \dashv N_\Delta$, we set $N_\Delta(\mathfrak{C})_n = \text{hom}(\Delta_\bullet^n, N_\Delta(\mathfrak{C})_\bullet) := \text{hom}(\omega_\infty(\Delta_\bullet^n), \mathfrak{C})$

\implies an n -simplex in $N_\Delta(\mathfrak{C})$ is a string of n homotopy composable morphisms of \mathfrak{C}

Interpretation

$N_\Delta \mathfrak{C}$ is a combinatorial representation of \mathfrak{C} exhibiting its structure of ∞ -category

Bonus

4 More on monadic algebra

5 Comparison of models

The codensity monad I

Dense and codense functors

- ▶ A functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *dense* if every object D of \mathcal{D} is canonically a colimit $\lim_{\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})/D} \mathcal{F}C$, with $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})/D$ the comma category of morphisms $\mathcal{F}C \rightarrow D$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$
- ▶ Equivalently, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathfrak{Set})$ is fully faithful

Density theorem: the Yoneda embedding is dense

- ▶ \mathcal{F} is *codense* if every D is $\lim_{\leftarrow D/\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})} \mathcal{F}C$

The codensity monad I

Dense and codense functors

- ▶ A functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *dense* if every object D of \mathcal{D} is canonically a colimit $\lim_{\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})/D} \mathcal{F}C$, with $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})/D$ the comma category of morphisms $\mathcal{F}C \rightarrow D$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$
- ▶ Equivalently, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathfrak{Set})$ is fully faithful

Density theorem: the Yoneda embedding is dense

- ▶ \mathcal{F} is *codense* if every D is $\lim_{\leftarrow D/\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})} \mathcal{F}C$
- ▶ There is a functor $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{Set})^{\text{op}}$ with $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{F}}(D): C \mapsto \text{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(D, \mathcal{F}C)$
- ▶ Right adjoint $- \pitchfork \mathcal{F}: \mathfrak{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{Set})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$

Definition

The *codensity monad* of \mathcal{F} is the monad $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{F}} \circ (- \pitchfork \mathcal{F})$ of the adjunction

The codensity monad II

Properties and examples

Main properties

- ▶ \mathcal{F} is codense iff its codensity monad is the identity
- ▶ The codensity monad is the right Kan extension of \mathcal{F} along itself
- ▶ If \mathcal{F} has a left adjoint \mathcal{L} , its codensity monad is $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$

The codensity monad II

Properties and examples

Main properties

- ▶ \mathcal{F} is codense iff its codensity monad is the identity
- ▶ The codensity monad is the right Kan extension of \mathcal{F} along itself
- ▶ If \mathcal{F} has a left adjoint \mathcal{L} , its codensity monad is $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$
- ▶ The functor $\mathcal{C}\text{at}_{/\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\text{onad}(\mathcal{D})$ is right adjoint to the Eilenberg–Moore algebra functor
- ▶ The forgetful inclusion $\mathcal{C}\text{at}_{/\mathcal{D}}^{\text{monadic}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{at}_{/\mathcal{D}}^{\text{w/cod. mon.}}$ has a left adjoint mapping $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ to the forgetful functor $\mathcal{A}\text{lg}(\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{F}} \circ (- \pitchfork \mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$

The codensity monad II

Properties and examples

Main properties

- ▶ \mathcal{F} is codense iff its codensity monad is the identity
- ▶ The codensity monad is the right Kan extension of \mathcal{F} along itself
- ▶ If \mathcal{F} has a left adjoint \mathcal{L} , its codensity monad is $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$
- ▶ The functor $\mathcal{C}\text{at}_{/\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\text{onad}(\mathcal{D})$ is right adjoint to the Eilenberg–Moore algebra functor
- ▶ The forgetful inclusion $\mathcal{C}\text{at}_{/\mathcal{D}}^{\text{monadic}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{at}_{/\mathcal{D}}^{\text{w/cod. mon.}}$ has a left adjoint mapping $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ to the forgetful functor $\mathcal{A}\text{lg}(\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{F}} \circ (- \pitchfork \mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$

- ▶ The codensity monad of $\mathcal{F}\text{inSet} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}\text{et}$ is the ultrafilter monad β
- ▶ The codensity monad of $\mathcal{V}\text{ect}_k^{\text{fin.dim.}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{ect}_k$ is the double dualisation monad $(-)^{\vee\vee}$

4 More on monadic algebra

5 Comparison of models

Homotopy categories and derived functors

Goal

\mathfrak{M} a category with \mathcal{W} a wide class of morphisms (“weak equivalences”, written $\xrightarrow{\sim}$)

The *localisation* $\mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathfrak{M}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ is the universal (initial) functor sending the weak equivalences to isomorphisms

Want to understand the homotopy category $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{W}) := \mathfrak{M}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$

Also, for $\mathcal{F}: (\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$, compute the derived functors

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Lan}_{\ell} \mathcal{F}: \mathrm{Ho}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{L}\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Ran}_{\ell} \mathcal{F}: \mathrm{Ho}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$$

General construction

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{W})}(M, M') = \left\{ M \xleftarrow{\in \mathcal{W}} M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \xleftarrow{\in \mathcal{W}} \cdots \xleftarrow{\in \mathcal{W}} M' \right\}$$

Very unwieldy model (possibly not even locally small)

Model structures

A model structure on $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{W})$ consists of two classes \mathcal{C} (cofibrations) and \mathcal{F} (fibrations) satisfying conditions $((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ must be weak factorisation systems)

In particular

- ▶ Say $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ is cofibrant if $\emptyset \rightarrow M$ is a cofibration, fibrant if $M \rightarrow *$ is a fibration
- ▶ For every M there is a cofibrant QM with $QM \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ and a fibrant RM with $M \xrightarrow{\sim} RM$, both functorially in M

Model structures

A model structure on $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{W})$ consists of two classes \mathcal{C} (cofibrations) and \mathcal{F} (fibrations) satisfying conditions $((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ must be weak factorisation systems)

In particular

- ▶ Say $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ is cofibrant if $\emptyset \rightarrow M$ is a cofibration, fibrant if $M \rightarrow *$ is a fibration
- ▶ For every M there is a cofibrant QM with $QM \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ and a fibrant RM with $M \xrightarrow{\sim} RM$, both functorially in M

Provides a left deformation $Q: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{cof}} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ and a right deformation $\mathcal{R}: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fib}} \subset \mathfrak{M}$, with $Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{R}$

Theorem

- ▶ $\text{Ho}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{W}) \simeq \{ \text{“homotopy” classes of maps in } \mathfrak{M}_{\text{cf}} \text{ full subcat. on fibrant-cofibrant} \}$
- ▶ $\mathbb{L}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \circ Q$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{R}$

Models for higher categories

Spaces as ∞ -groupoids

- ▶ Model structure on $\mathcal{T}op$ with \mathcal{W} the weak homotopy equivalences
- ▶ Similar model structure on $s\mathcal{S}et$ whose fibrant objects are the Kan complexes

Nerve and realisation induce an equivalence of homotopy theories

Models for higher categories

Spaces as ∞ -groupoids

- ▶ Model structure on \mathcal{Top} with \mathcal{W} the weak homotopy equivalences
- ▶ Similar model structure on \mathcal{sSet} whose fibrant objects are the Kan complexes

Nerve and realisation induce an equivalence of homotopy theories

Joyal There is a model structure on \mathcal{sSet} whose fibrant objects are quasi-categories (weak Kan complexes)

Bergner Model structure on $\mathcal{Cat}_{\mathcal{sSet}}$ with fibrant objects locally Kan \mathcal{sSet} -categories, \mathcal{W} functors inducing equivalences of $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{sSet}, \mathcal{W}_{\text{Kan}})$ -categories

Models for higher categories

Spaces as ∞ -groupoids

- ▶ Model structure on \mathcal{Top} with \mathcal{W} the weak homotopy equivalences
- ▶ Similar model structure on \mathcal{sSet} whose fibrant objects are the Kan complexes

Nerve and realisation induce an equivalence of homotopy theories

Joyal There is a model structure on \mathcal{sSet} whose fibrant objects are quasi-categories (weak Kan complexes)

Bergner Model structure on $\mathcal{Cat}_{\mathcal{sSet}}$ with fibrant objects locally Kan \mathcal{sSet} -categories, \mathcal{W} functors inducing equivalences of $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{sSet}, \mathcal{W}_{\text{Kan}})$ -categories

Lurie The adjunction $\omega_\infty \dashv N_\Delta$ induces an equivalence of homotopy categories

Model-independence in ∞ -cosmoi

An ∞ -cosmos \mathcal{K} is (roughly) a $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{C}at$ -enriched category of fibrant objects
Much of ∞ -category theory can be done in the homotopy 2-category of \mathcal{K}

Virtual profunctor equipment

∞ -cosmoi admit a calculus of “bimodules” $\mathcal{C} \dashv\vdash \mathcal{D}$, called profunctors, which are (fibrations defining) ∞ -functors “ $\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}rp\mathcal{D}_{\infty}$ ”

- ▶ Abstracts the internal hom functors

Model-independence Any notion that can be encoded in terms of the virtual equipment can be transported along cosmological 2-equivalences

\implies Constructions appropriately performed in any ∞ -cosmos equivalent to $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{C}at$ are valid for all models of ∞ -categories