

TOWARDS WALL-CROSSING FOR CATEGORIFIED QUASIMAP COHFTs

David Kern

LAREMA, Université d'Angers

kern@math.univ-angers.fr

The usual notion of a tree-level CohFT is captured by that of an algebra over the operad $(A_\bullet \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1})_{n \geq 0}$. Gromov–Witten classes furnish such a structure on the cyclotomic inertia stack $\mathcal{I}_\mu X$ of any Deligne–Mumford stack X by pullback–virtual pushforward along the correspondence

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1} \times (\mathcal{I}X)^n \xleftarrow{(\text{Stab}, \text{ev}_1, \dots, \text{ev}_n)} \coprod_{\beta \in A_1 X} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}(X, \beta) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{n+1}} \mathcal{I}X \quad (1)$$

A similar structure can be obtained in G-theory, replacing the twisting by the virtual fundamental class $\smile [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$ by a virtual structure sheaf $\otimes [\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}(X, \beta)}]^{\text{vir}}$. For the natural question of the categorification of the GW classes from operators on $G_0(X) = K_0(\mathfrak{P}erf_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ to dg-functors on $\mathfrak{P}erf_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, one needs a natural lift of the G-theoretic virtual sheaf. This virtual sheaf can be realised as the “shadow” of the structure sheaf of a derived moduli stack enhancing $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}(X, \beta)$. Furthermore, at the geometric level, all the motivic operations originate from the (∞) -bicategory of correspondences in (derived) algebraic stacks. We will thus study Motivic Field Theories (MotFTs), defined as lax algebras in correspondences over the ∞ -operad $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0 := (\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1})_n$.

Operadic structure of moduli of twisted curves

For any $r = (r_i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there is a moduli stack $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n,r}$ parameterising stacky curves of genus g with n marked gerbes s_i banded respectively by μ_{r_i} .

Proposition. The genus 0 moduli assemble into a coloured (cyclic) operad \mathfrak{M}_0 with set of colours \mathbb{N} , stacks of n -ary multimorphisms $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1,r} := \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{M}_0}((r_1, \dots, r_n); r_{n+1})$, and the operadic composition given by the gluing maps

$$\mathfrak{M}_{0,n,r} \ni \begin{array}{c} \mu_{r_n} \\ \mu_{r_3} \\ \mu_{r_2} \\ \mu_{r_1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mu_{r_n} \\ \mu_{r_3} \\ \mu_{r_2} \\ \mu_{r_1} \end{array} \in \mathfrak{M}_{0,k_n+1,(\dots,r_n)} \\ \vdots \\ \in \mathfrak{M}_{0,k_1+1,(\dots,r_1)} \quad \mapsto \quad \begin{array}{c} \mu_{r_n}^{\text{balanced}} \\ \mu_{r_3}^{\text{bal.}} \\ \mu_{r_2}^{\text{bal.}} \\ \mu_{r_1}^{\text{bal.}} \end{array} \in \mathfrak{M}_{0,\sum_i k_i,(\dots)}$$

The stabilisation maps $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n,r} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ provide a morphism of operads $\mathfrak{M}_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_0$.

Derived enhancements and virtual pullbacks

Obstruction theories and derived thickenings

Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a quasi-smooth morphism of derived algebraic stacks, that is $\mathbb{L}_f: Y/X$ is of perfect amplitude in $[-1, 0]$. The closed immersions of the truncations $j_X: t_0 X \hookrightarrow X$, $j_Y: t_0 Y \hookrightarrow Y$ into their derived thickenings induce isomorphisms in G-theory, and we define the virtual pullback

$$(t_0 f)^! = (j_{Y,*})^{-1} f^* j_{X,*}: G_0(t_0 X) \xrightarrow{\cong} G_0(X) \rightarrow G_0(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} G_0(t_0 Y).$$

The virtual structure sheaf of $t_0 X$ is the virtual pullback of k along the structure map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, and $(t_0 f)^!$ preserves virtual sheaves. It also coincides with Manolache’s virtual pullback built from the perfect obstruction theory (POT) $j_Y^* \mathbb{L}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{t_0 f}$.

Derived mapping stacks and prestable curves

Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}_{g,n,r} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,n,r}$ denote the universal curve, and let X be a target algebraic stack. We define the derived mapping stack

$$\mathbb{R}\text{Map}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,n,r}(\mathcal{C}_{g,n,r}, X \times \mathfrak{M}_{g,n,r}), \quad (2)$$

which is a derived thickening of the classical mapping stack.

The POT coming from its cotangent complex coincides from the usual POT obtained by pulling back \mathbb{T}_X along the universal evaluation map $\mathcal{C}_{g,n,r} \times \mathbb{R}\text{Map}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,n,r}(\mathcal{C}_{g,n,r}, X \times \mathfrak{M}_{g,n,r}) \rightarrow X$.

By imposing open stability conditions, we will define open derived substacks which are thickenings of the moduli stacks of stable maps and more generally quasi-stable maps.

Brane actions for coloured ∞ -operads

Correspondences and categorical ∞ -operads

To any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with pullbacks, one associates an $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathcal{C}or(\mathcal{C})$ whose 1-morphisms are correspondences (or spans) between objects of \mathcal{C} . A cartesian monoidal structure \mathcal{C}^\times induces a symmetric monoidal structure $\mathcal{C}or^\times(\mathcal{C})$, which should make it a special case of $(\infty, 2)$ -operad. In the dendroidal model for ∞ -operads, we model such **categorical ∞ -operads** as presheaves of ∞ -categories on the category of trees Ω which satisfy the Segal conditions.

If $\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}_\tau(\mathfrak{S})$ is a hypercomplete ∞ -topos, a (categorical) ∞ -operad enriched in \mathfrak{T} corresponds to a hypersheaf of (categorical) ∞ -operads on the ∞ -site (\mathfrak{S}, τ) .

Example. There is a categorical ∞ -operad $\mathcal{C}or^\times(\mathfrak{T}/_), S \mapsto \mathcal{C}or^\times(\mathfrak{T}/_S)$.

Viewing a categorical ∞ -operad as its Grothendieck construction (over $\mathfrak{T} \times \Omega^{\text{op}}$), we can use the cartesian lifts to define the notion of **lax morphism**, preserving the operadic compatibilities only up to non-invertible natural transformation.

Coloured brane action

Let \mathfrak{D} be an ∞ -operad in $\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}_\tau(\mathfrak{S})$. For any multimorphism α of arity n (over an object $S \in \mathfrak{S}$), the space of **extensions** $\text{Ext}(\alpha)$ contains the choices of an $(n+1)$ -ary multimorphism extending α along an additional colour.

Theorem. There is a lax morphism of categorical ∞ -operads $\mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}or^\times(\mathfrak{T}/_)$, which sends any colour C of $\mathfrak{D}(S)$ to the space $\text{Ext}(\text{id}_C)$. For any hypersheaf $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{T}$, composition with the “internal hom” ∞ -functor $\mathbb{R}\text{Map}(-, \mathcal{X})$ induces $\mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}or^\times(\mathfrak{T}/_)$.

Example of twisted curves: For \mathfrak{M}_0 , we have $\text{Ext}(\text{id}_n) = \coprod_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{M}_{0,3,(n,r,n)}$.

We set $\mathcal{L}_\mu X = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{R}\text{Map}(\text{Ext}(\text{id}_n), X)$ the **cyclotomic loop stack** of a target stack X .

Note also that $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$ is the universal curve $\mathcal{C}_{0,n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$, so the brane action for \mathfrak{M}_0 is given by analogues of the GW correspondence (1) with the derived mapping stack (2).

Stability conditions and quasimaps

Stable points of algebraic stacks

Let \mathbb{G}_m be the multiplicative group k -scheme, and let $\mathbf{B}\mathbb{G}_m = [*/\mathbb{G}_m]$ and $\Theta = [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$ be the moduli stacks for line bundles and line bundles with a section. For any algebraic stack X , a close degeneration of a point $x: \text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$ is a morphism $\tilde{x}: \Theta \rightarrow X$ such that $\tilde{x}(1) = x$ and $\tilde{x}(0) \neq x$.

If X is endowed with a line bundle \mathcal{L}_0 , we can define a point x to be **\mathcal{L}_0 -stable** if its automorphisms are finite over k and the pullback of \mathcal{L}_0 along any close degeneration of x has negative weight. This condition naturally extends to rational line bundles $\mathcal{L} = \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_0 \in \text{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. For any choice of such stability parameter $\mathcal{L} = \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_0$, we denote X^{st} the locus of stable points in X .

Quasi-stable maps

A representable morphism $f: C \rightarrow X$ from a stacky curve (C, s_1, \dots, s_r) to X is pre- \mathcal{L} -stable if it maps generically to X^{st} : only isolated basepoints are mapped to the unstable locus.

A **quasi- \mathcal{L} -stable map** into X is a pre- \mathcal{L} -stable map $f: C \rightarrow X$ such that the order of vanishing of f along \mathcal{L} at any point c of C is ≤ 1 , and $\omega_{C, \log} \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}$ is ample. Note that the stable locus only depends on \mathcal{L}_0 . If $\varepsilon > 2$, a quasi- \mathcal{L} -stable map to X is a stable map to X^{st} .

There is an open sub-derived stack $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Q}_{g,n,r}^{\mathcal{L}}(X, \beta) \subset \mathbb{R}\text{Map}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,n,r}(\mathcal{C}_{g,n,r}, X \times \mathfrak{M}_{g,n,r})$, which is a derived thickening of the moduli stack of quasi- \mathcal{L} -stable maps.

Quasimap MotFTs

By left extending the brane action $\mathfrak{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}or^\times(\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}_\tau/_)$ along $\mathfrak{M}_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_0$, one obtains a lax morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}or^\times(\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}_\tau/_)$, sending the unique colour to the cyclotomic loop stack $\mathcal{L}_\mu X$.

For any stability bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, restricting the mapping stacks appearing in the correspondences to $\coprod_{r, \beta} \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Q}_{0,n,r}^{\mathcal{L}}(X, \beta)$ gives rise to a new MotFT on $\mathcal{L}_\mu X$.

References

References

- [CFK] *Orbifold quasimap theory*, I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim
- [Hei] *Hilbert–Mumford stability on algebraic stacks and applications to G-bundles on curves*, J. Heinloth
- [MR] *Brane actions, categorification of Gromov–Witten invariants and quantum K-theory*, E. Mann and M. Robalo
- [STV] *Derived algebraic geometry, determinants of perfect complexes, and applications to obstruction theories for maps and complexes*, T. Schürg, B. Toën and G. Vezzosi

Future directions

Wall-crossing in categorified Givental group

Our main goal is to categorify the wall-crossing formulæ for the virtual classes of quasimap moduli stacks and lift them to the non-linear (derived) geometric setting. Rather than comparing individual derived enhancements, we compare the MotFTs $(\coprod_{r, \beta} \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Q}_{0,n,r}^{\mathcal{L}_0}(X, \beta))_n$ induced by the families of derived moduli stacks associated to each stability parameters.

Classically, CohFT structures on $A_\bullet X$ are classified by a dg-Lie algebra which integrates to the Givental group. The difference between MotFTs should then lie in a formal group derived stack (in correspondences), obtained from an \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra (in correspondences) classifying lax $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0$ -algebras.

Higher genus and quantisation

Although we have considered the moduli stacks of genus 0 twisted curves as an operad, they actually possess the further structure of a cyclic operad, which is the genus 0 part of a modular operad formed by higher genus moduli stacks. As of yet there is no theory of modular ∞ -operads, but once appropriately defined they ought to admit brane actions allowing the construction of higher genus MotFTs.

In the classical theory, a full CohFT is determined by its genus 0 part, and is obtained from the latter by a process of quantisation. We expect that this quantisation should come from a relationship between (the Feynman categories for) modular operads and topological recursion operads.